

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/019,614	0	2/06/1998	ARI KOSKI	460-007777-U	2231	
2512	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER		
PERMAN &		1	GRIER, LAURA A			
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2615	2615	
			•	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/019,614	KOSKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura A. Grier	2615
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fee     2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	· .
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,31 and 32 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 31 fails to include the correct punctuation at the end of line 14. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piosenka et al., U. S. Patent No. 5926756 in view of Wong et al., U. S. Patent No. 5881103.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5, 11, and 13, Piosenka et al., (herein, Piosenka) discloses method for programming a cellular phone. Piosenka's disclosure comprises a PED, which may be any various programmable electronic device, such as a cellular telephone, pagers, etc., (col. 2, lines 46-49), of which the PED includes an interface (20/26) that comprises a microcontroller (32) that enables data to be received and transmitted between and PC (which may also be a type of personal digital assistant) and a cellular phone (col. 3, lines 10-17, 50-62, col. 4, lines 32-42 and col. 5, Lines 65—67 and col. 6, lines 1-2 figures 1-4); further the data includes volume controls and ring controls indicates audio parameters (col. 6, lines 43-47), which read connecting to at least one auxiliary device, which as well indicates loading audio parameters into processor of the

PC during operation, and providing two communication of the data between the cellular phone (mobile communication device) and the PC (auxiliary device and/or mobile communication device - the PC may also be a PDA) via a serial input/output port associated to the PC and a wire bus associated to the cellular phone. However, Piosenka fails to disclose a digital signal processor and the communication of digital data.

Regarding the digital signal processor and the communication of digital data, Wong et al. (herein, Wong) discloses a digital signal processor (206) and the transfer of digital data between two electronic components (figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Piosenka by incorporating a DSP for the purpose of providing efficient and quality transmission, and adequate processing of the data between electronic devices, such as a PC and cellular phone, via an interface.

Regarding claim 2, Piosenka and Wong disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Piosenka and Wong (Piosenka) discloses a serial input/output port associated to the PC and a wire bus associated to the cellular phone (col. 4, lines 35-38), which reads on the audio parameters loaded from the auxiliary device via the auxiliary device connection.

Regarding claim 10 and 12, respectively, Piosenka and Wong disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1 and 5, respectively). Piosenka and Wong (Piosenka) disclose further the data includes volume controls and ring controls indicate audio parameters (col. 6, lines 43-47).

Regarding claim 4 and 6, respectively, Piosenka and Wong disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1 and 5, respectively). Piosenka and Wong (Piosenka) discloses

obviously indicates claimed limitation as evident by the interface logic for hardware for insuring proper voltage and current levels of the bus connection (col. 4, lines 19-31 and 46-48).

Regarding claim 7 and 8, Piosenka and Wong disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5). Piosenka and Wong (Piosenka) discloses the PC which is able to transmit and receiver data, which constitutes a transmitter/receiver unit of a mobile station, and Wong discloses a further discloses a transmitter/receiver unit of a mobile station figure 2-reference 110.

Regarding claim 9, Piosenka and Wong disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). Piosenka and Wong (Piosenka) disclose the cellular telephone, which obviously includes a loudspeaker and a microphone as evident of the structure of a cellular phone.

Regarding claim 31, Piosenka discloses method for programming a cellular phone. Piosenka's disclosure comprises a PED, which may be any various programmable electronic device, such as a cellular telephone, pagers, etc., (col. 2, lines 46-49), of which the PED includes an interface (20/26) that comprises memory and a microcontroller (32) that enables data to be received and transmitted between and PC and a cellular phone (col. 3, lines 10-17, 50-62, col. 4, lines 32-42 and col. 5, Lines 65—67 and col. 6, lines 1-2 figures 1-4); further the data includes volume controls and ring controls indicates audio parameters (col. 6, lines 43-47), which read connecting to at least one auxiliary device, which as well indicates loading audio parameters into processor of the PC during operation, and providing two communication of the data between the cellular phone (mobile communication device) and the PC (auxiliary device and/or mobile communication device- the PC may also be a PDA) via a serial input/output port associated to

Art Unit: 2615

the PC and a wire bus associated to the cellular phone. However, Piosenka fails to disclose a digital signal processor and the communication of digital data.

Page 5

Regarding the digital signal processor and the communication of digital data, Wong discloses a digital signal processor and the transfer of digital data between two electronic components (figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Piosenka by incorporating a DSP for the purpose of providing efficient and quality transmission, and adequate processing of the data between electronic devices, such as a PC and cellular phone, via an interface.

Regarding **claim 32**, Piosenka discloses method for programming a cellular phone. Piosenka's disclosure comprises a PED, which may be any various programmable electronic device, such as a cellular telephone, pagers, etc., (col. 2, lines 46-49), of which the PED includes an interface (20/26) that comprises memory and a microcontroller (32) that enables data to be received and transmitted between and PC and a cellular phone (col. 3, lines 10-17, 50-62, col. 4, lines 32-42 and col. 5, Lines 65—67 and col. 6, lines 1-2 figures 1-4); further the data includes volume controls and ring controls indicates audio parameters (col. 6, lines 43-47), which read connecting to at least one auxiliary device, which as well indicates loading audio parameters into processor of the PC during operation, and providing two communication of the data between the cellular phone (mobile communication device) and the PC (auxiliary device and/or mobile communication device- the PC may also be a PDA) via a serial input/output port associated to the PC and a wire bus associated to the cellular phone. Piosenka further discloses the PC including software for controlling the programming of the PED (col. 3, lines 6-7and col. 10, lines

30-43), and the microcontroller obviously performance is dependent upon software instructions as evident by the memories. However, Piosenka fails to disclose a digital signal processor and the communication of digital data.

Regarding the digital signal processor and the communication of digital data, Wong discloses a digital signal processor and the transfer of digital data between two electronic components (figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Piosenka by incorporating a DSP for the purpose of providing efficient and quality transmission, and adequate processing of the data between electronic devices, such as a PC and cellular phone, via an interface.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/19/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant initially argues that final rejection was improper. The examiner deems the final rejection proper due the claim language of the claimed invention being narrowed to specifically claim a mobile communication device, and where a new primary reference was introduced to support the mobile communication device. Arguments were directed to the examiner's rejection disclosed the cellular telephone of the primary reference (Piosenka) as the auxiliary device and the PC as the mobile communication device. The devices designated as such in error, and have been corrected to indicate the cellular telephone as the mobile communication device and the PC as the auxiliary device and/or mobile communication device,

Art Unit: 2615

because the PC may also be a type of personal digital assistant. And further in respect to particular argument, the applicant's claim language fails to limit what device can or cannot be considered as an auxiliary device or a mobile communication device. The arguments against the Wong et al. reference are not persuasive merely because the Wong reference was introduced to show that the communication and data interaction between a DSP and an auxiliary device was well known. Piosenka clearly provides support of two-way communication, however just fails to disclose a commonly used device such as a DSP. The claim language of the claimed invention has been interpreted and examined in respect to the broadest interpretation of claim language. Thus, the rejection of Piosenka and Wong is maintained.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/019,614 Page 8

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

lag